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Welcome to my Inaugural Lecture on Agronomic Management Practices Towards Improved Crop Productivity That Food May Be More Abundant
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Deans of Postgraduate School and Student Affairs,
Professors and Other members of Senate,
Directors and Heads of Departments;
Other Members of Academia,
Members of Administrative and Technical Staff,
My Lords Spiritual and Temporal;
Members of my family, Nuclear and Extended,
Distinguished Invited Guests,
Gentlemen of the Print and Electronic Media,
Greatest UNILORITES,
Ladies and Gentlemen.

Preambles

With profound gratitude to Almighty Allah, I consider it a great honour and privilege to present the 124th Inaugural Lecture of the University of Ilorin, the Better By Far University, the 9th in the Faculty of Agriculture and the 2nd in the Department of Agronomy. Mr. Vice-Chancellor Sir, before I go deep into the struggle to release our crops from the clutches of the environmental stresses so that they can attain their potentials in providing food for you, me and our numerous livestock, I will like to appreciate the Almighty Allah for sparing my life to make today a reality through three persons probably sitting here today. These are the angels Allah used to bring hope into my life when
many thought that hope had been lost. One of them is a teacher, a physician and an administrator par excellence, in person of Prof. B. J. Bojuwoye who became my personal doctor, putting life back into a walking corpse that I was in the early 2000s. I thank you most sincerely sir. The second person is of course MY JEWEL of inestimable value who graduated from her roles as a dutiful wife and mother to become my personal NURSE AND DRIVER, Olu, you were surely the reason that I survived and live. Finally, my gratitude goes to the immediate past Vice-Chancellor of this great University, Professor Is-haq Olanrewaju Oloyede, who on the 4th March, 2009 (My Birthday) on behalf of the University authority pronounced my appointment as a Professor of Agronomy, thereby paving way for this 2nd Inaugural Lecture of the Department of Agronomy. I thank you most sincerely sir. I will also like to extend my appreciation to the incumbent Vice-Chancellor for giving me the opportunity to present today’s lecture, I am grateful sir.

Scope of Agronomy and the roles of Agronomist

Agronomy is a branch of Agricultural science which deals with principles and practices of soil, water and crop management. Agronomy is a dynamic discipline and with the advancement of knowledge and better understanding of plant and environment, agricultural practices are modified or new practices are developed for higher productivity. Agronomist aims at obtaining maximum production with minimum cost. He exploits the knowledge developed by basic and allied applied sciences for higher crop production. In a large sense, agronomist is concerned with the production of food and fibre to meet
the needs of growing population. Agronomist carries out research on scientific cultivation of crops taking into account the effects of factors like soil, climate, variety of crop and adjust production techniques suitably depending on the situation. He is a key person with a working knowledge of all agricultural disciplines and coordinator of different subject matter specialists. Consequently, my 31 years of research experience in this great University revolved round getting insights into the effects of soil moisture deficits and nutrients deficiencies on growth and yield of food crops as well as the agronomic management practices that will improve the productivity and yield of the various crops under such environmental stress factors, hence the title of this Inaugural Lecture “That Food May be More Abundant.”

Introduction

Plants constitute the only source of survival for man, animals and microbes (Amusa, 2010). Our entire lives depend directly on plants and plant products for food, shelter, fibres, clothing and drugs. Some of the commonly used plant species are cereal (maize, rice, sorghum, millet and wheat), legume (cowpea, soybean, groundnut), root and tuber (cassava, yam, potato), fibre (cotton, kenaf, rosette), and tree crops (teak, mahogany) (Plates 1). However, the productivity of these various plant species is being constrained by environmental stress factors, resulting from the activities of biotic and abiotic agents. The environmental stress factors such as drought, high temperature, salinity, air pollution, heavy metals, pesticides and soil pH are the major limiting factors in crop production because they affect almost all plant functions
Plants, particularly arable crops are subjected to these various environmental stress factors which are nowadays being aggravated by climate changes. Of all these environmental stress factors, soil moisture deficit and nutrients deficiency are two major abiotic factors that limit agricultural crop production (Nemeth et al., 2002; Jaleel et al., 2009).

Most of the known mitigation strategies for water deficit effects are not attainable in the developing countries of the world, including Nigeria. So for the purpose of crop production, yield improvement and yield stability under water stress conditions, the development of drought tolerant varieties is the best option (Siddique et al., 2000). This is also in consonance with an earlier opinion that one strategy to reduce water stress effect on crop yield is to use drought tolerant species and cultivars (Carrow et al., 1990).

It has earlier been observed that a final solution to drought in Nigeria must involve either the provision of extra moisture, the adaptation of crops to use less water, or to reconstitute crops to tolerate and resist drought (Owonubi and Abdumumin, 1985). However, the provision of extra moisture in terms of irrigation does not seem to be a realizable option for the small scale farmers producing most of the food consumed in Nigeria. The challenge has therefore fallen on the plant breeders, the plant physiologists and the agronomists to reconstitute crops to tolerate and resist drought in order to improve and sustain agricultural production in Nigeria.
Evaluating Crop Species and Genotypes for Drought Tolerance

Improved drought resistance is a major objective in plant breeding and selection programmes for crops grown in semi-arid regions without irrigation. To be successful, selection criteria must be identified that are associated with improved yield under conditions of drought stress, have high heritability, and can be measured accurately in large populations (Schonfeed et al., 1988). Many techniques have been suggested for screening for drought tolerance capacity in plants. These include seed germination in high osmotic pressure (Ashraf and Abu-Shakra, 1978; Abayomi, 1992), seedling heat or dessication tolerance (Sullivan and Ross, 1979), water retention or loss of excised leaves (Bayles et al.,
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Plates 1: Pictures showing maize, yam, cassava, tomato, okra and sugarcane plants

1937; Clarke and McCaig, 1982); leaf conductance or permeability (Fischer and Sanchez, 1979); photosynthetic capacity (Dedio, et. al 1976), crop canopy or leaf temperature (Blum, 1979), visual observation of leaf rolling (Begg, 1980; O’Toole et al, 1984). Many biochemical traits have also been used or proposed as drought tolerance indicators in plants. These include accumulation of growth hormones such as abscisic acid (ABA), amino acids such as proline and enzymes such as nitrate reductase. The rate of disappearance of nitrate reductase activity (NRA) and leaf water status are indications of drought tolerance/susceptibility (Naidu et al, 1983; Viqueira et al, 1983). Thus Abayomi et al (1988) used the rate of disappearance of NRA to distinguish between a drought tolerant and susceptible sugarcane genotype. The NRA stability under moisture stress condition has also been indicated to be associated with drought tolerance in plants (Sinha and Rajgopal, 1975; Sairam and Dube, 1984). Abayomi (1999) used NRA
stability to confirm the superiority of a sugarcane cultivar, Co 997, as a drought tolerant cultivar in agreement with the earlier observation of Natarajan (1978). (Figure 1).

**Figure 1: Effect of nitrogen level on nitrate reductase (NR) enzyme stability under moisture stress (Abayomi,**

3 Days of moisture stress
Seed Germination in High Osmotic Pressure

Soil moisture potential has two contributing components: osmotic potential of the soil solution and the matric potential at which soil water is held. Although, it has been shown that the effect of osmotic potential was less than that of the matric potential of the same magnitude on maize radical growth (Gringrich and Russell, 1957), osmotic potential is easier to handle in the laboratory. It has therefore been used often to compare drought resistance of different crop species and varieties. In a series of publications, we have been able to show the effectiveness of seed germination in high osmotic potential in distinguishing drought tolerant and susceptible crop genotypes such as sugarcane (Abayomi and Mobolaji, 1995), maize (Abayomi and Saliu, 1997), wheat (Abayomi, 1992; Abayomi and Wright, 1999a), Cowpea and maize (Abayomi and Adeniyi, 2005 genotypes (Table 1; Figure 2).
Table 1: Interactive effects of osmotically-induced water stress and variety on emergence percent (E%) at the end of stress period in twelve open-pollinated maize varieties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variety</th>
<th>Moisture tension (MPa.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMR ESR-Y</td>
<td>100a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACR 89 MDR ESR-W</td>
<td>80a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZE COMP4 DMR SR BC2</td>
<td>100a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZE COMP3 C1</td>
<td>80a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACR 90 POOL 16 SR</td>
<td>80a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACR 86 TZ ESR-W</td>
<td>90a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POP 31 DMR SR</td>
<td>75a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZ 9043 DMR SR</td>
<td>80a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUWAN-1-SR</td>
<td>90a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACR 90 DMR LSR-W</td>
<td>85a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUN 88 TZ SR-W1</td>
<td>80a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZL COMP4 C0</td>
<td>75a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture tension means</td>
<td>84.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures followed by the same letter(s) in a column are not significantly different at 5% probability level by the Duncan’s Multiple Range Test. To compare moisture tension LSD (0.05) is 22.09%.

Source: Abayomi and Saliu, 1997
Figure 2. Germination response of six sugarcane genotypes to osmotically-induced moisture stress (Low and No stress).
Figure 2 Contd.
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Figure 2 Contd.

Source: Abayomi and Mobolaji, 1995
Figure 3. Interactive effects of osmotically-induced moisture stress and temperature on spring wheat germination.

Source: Abayomi and Wright (1999a)
Figure 3 Contd.
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Figure 4. Interactive effects of soil moisture content on germination of cowpea and maize.
Figure 4 Contd.

Source: Abayomi and Adeniyi (2005).
Moreover, the effect of water deficit on seed germination is sometimes confounded by high or low temperatures (Lafond and Baker, 1986; Smith et al., 1989). Abayomi and Wright (1999a) showed that the differences between osmotic potentials increased with temperature (Figure 3). In a comparative evaluation study, Abayomi and Adeniyi (2005) reported appreciable differences in seed germination of different crop species (cowpea and maize) at sub-optimal soil moisture content, in favour of maize (Figure 4).

Morpho-physiological Growth Characteristics

Expansive growth measurements

- Leaf Expansion Rate (LER)

One of the easiest tests for a breeder in selecting for drought resistance (or even water use efficiency (WUE) would be to determine the ability of the plant to maintain expansive growth at reduced tissue water potential. Hsiao and Acevedo (1974) had noted that such a test should be quick and simple since young leaves of any species grow rapidly enough that growth during a fraction of a day can be measured nondestructively, merely with a ruler.

In a series of publications, the lecturer and his colleagues were able to show that leaf expansive growth measurement is the easiest, most simple and inexpensive screening technique with significant variations among genotypes (Abayomi, 1992; Abayomi, 1996; Abayomi and Lawal, 1998; Abayomi and Wright, 1999b; Abayomi and Wright, 2002). We also showed that LER response to soil moisture deficit is dependent on the growth stage at which the deficit occurs. Our results on sugar beet showed that water deficit early in the growing season had larger effect
on leaf growth, LER, area of individual leaf and leaf area index (LAI), while mid- or late-season soil water deficit showed relatively smaller effects on leaf growth (Abayomi and Wright, 2002) (Figure 5). Our results on sugarcane further showed the ontogenetic variations in the responses of leaves at different positions to water stress (Table 2).

In another study, Abayomi and Wright (1999b) showed that while the rate of leaf appearance and number of leaves per main stem were not significantly affected by water stress, LER, and hence leaf size and area were significantly reduced to varying degrees by water stress in wheat. The authors thereby suggested that leaf expansion may be more sensitive to water stress than the meristematic process involved in leaf initiation, possibly because the water potential of the shoot meristem and young leaves are maintained at a higher level than the other parts of the plant during the period of water stress (Lawlow and Milford, 1973). However, our results on cowpea showed no evidence of increased senescence with water stress at flowering and pod filing stages.
Table 2: Effect of water stress on Leaf Extension rate (LER in cm day\(^{-1}\)) during maximum growth of leaf at positions 8, 10, 12 and 14.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water stress</th>
<th>BD 83/019</th>
<th>BD 83/035</th>
<th>IB 85/43</th>
<th>USRI 86/25</th>
<th>USRI 86/4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No stress</td>
<td>3.8a</td>
<td>5.8a</td>
<td>5.1a</td>
<td>4.7a</td>
<td>5.2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate stress</td>
<td>3.2a</td>
<td>1.8b</td>
<td>4.5b</td>
<td>3.7a</td>
<td>3.7b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe stress</td>
<td>2.7a</td>
<td>1.4b</td>
<td>3.6b</td>
<td>2.5b</td>
<td>2.2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf 8 (L8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No stress</td>
<td>4.0a</td>
<td>4.8a</td>
<td>9.7a</td>
<td>8.7a</td>
<td>7.1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate stress</td>
<td>3.9a</td>
<td>3.3a</td>
<td>5.0b</td>
<td>6.5a</td>
<td>2.8b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe stress</td>
<td>3.7a</td>
<td>1.9a</td>
<td>2.3b</td>
<td>1.8b</td>
<td>0.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf 10 (L10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No stress</td>
<td>10.9a</td>
<td>10.3a</td>
<td>7.5a</td>
<td>4.5a</td>
<td>3.9a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate stress</td>
<td>9.5a</td>
<td>4.9b</td>
<td>5.7a</td>
<td>3.5a</td>
<td>1.6a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe stress</td>
<td>2.0b</td>
<td>2.3b</td>
<td>2.6a</td>
<td>2.0a</td>
<td>0.3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf 12 (L12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No stress</td>
<td>4.4a</td>
<td>5.0a</td>
<td>4.2a</td>
<td>5.0a</td>
<td>3.7a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate stress</td>
<td>3.4a</td>
<td>3.9a</td>
<td>3.1a</td>
<td>3.4a</td>
<td>3.3ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe stress</td>
<td>3.2a</td>
<td>3.6a</td>
<td>2.8a</td>
<td>1.2b</td>
<td>1.84b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf 14 (L14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures followed by the same letter(s) in each column are not significantly different on Duncan’s Multiple Range Test at p<0.05.

Source: Abayomi and Lawal, 1998
This was probably due to minimal change in plant water potential during stress (Turk et al., 1980; Wien et al., 1979), indicating that cowpea is an excellent drought avoiding plant. This shows that leaf production is more sensitive to water stress than leaf senescence in cowpea. The reduction in leaf production and leaf area reported by Abayomi et al. (2001) with water stress at the vegetative stage might also be a drought avoiding mechanism. Shakle and Hall (1983) had earlier shown that cowpea avoid drought by reduction in leaf area, decrease in stomatal conductance and changes in leaf orientation.

**Figure 5.** Effect of water stress on the ontogenetic changes in final leaf area and maximum leaf expansion rate (LER) during stress period of wheat.
Stem Elongation/ Plant Height

It has been suggested that variations in plant height under stress conditions may become one of the causes of variations in grain yield in cereals (Hadjichristodoulou, 1987). In our various studies, we have been able to show that the relative decrease in plant height under water stress as compared to the control (well-watered) was found to be well correlated with grain yield, and therefore concluded that measurement of plant height from the surface of the soil to the tip of the last emerging leaf using a ruler (Plate 3) is simple, fast and inexpensive and therefore could be a good selection criterion in large scale selection programme (Abayomi, 1992; Source: Abayomi and Wright (1999b)).
Plate 2. Students measuring leaf length and plant height in okra and maize plants respectively.

Abayomi and Lawal, 1998; Abayomi and Wright, 1999b; Abayomi, 2004; Abayomi, 2008; Abayomi and Adefila, 2008; Abayomi and Abidoye, 2009). This view was supported by an earlier report that growth responses in terms of plant height was found to serve well as one component of a multiple selection index for drought resistance in maize (Fischer et al, 1983). The results of one
of our studies further showed that plant height under water stress as a percentage of the control was positively associated with grain yield ($r=0.48^{**}$) and harvest index ($r=0.56^{**}$) and significantly varied amongst genotypes. Similar genotypic variation in grain yield in response to relative decreases in plant height under water stress has been reported for grain sorghum (Blum et al., 1989).

**Physiological Processes**

- **Photosynthetic Responses**

  Although selection for high photosynthetic rate *per se*, has generally not been a productive approach to increasing yield, the capacity of plant to photosynthesize during and following moisture stress is an important index of drought resistance (Blum, 1979). It has therefore been observed that improvement in crop productivity may be achieved by selecting increased canopy photosynthesis and an efficient utilization of assimilates under unfavourable environmental conditions, such as drought (Fredrick et al., 1989). However, Dedio et al, (1976) reported that the use of infrared method did not adequately differentiate between cultivars on their photosynthetic rate. This view was supported by Abayomi (1992) who reported no significant variations in photosynthetic rates of wheat and sugar beet cultivars under moisture stress. The latter author attributed the non-significant genotypic variations to inadequate photosynthetic active radiation (PAR) and thereby stressed the need for saturating PAR if accurate comparisons are to be made using infrared gas analyzer (Plate 4). Thus, Abayomi and Wright (2000) reported that the effect of water stress on net photosynthesis ($P_n$) was greatest.
between 12.00 and 14.00 hr when the evaporative demand is highest and PAR is at its peak.

Plate 3: Infrared gas Analyzer (IRGA) being used to monitor photosynthetic capacity in a maize field

Mr. Vice-Chancellor, Sir, drought resistance is a complex of many morphological, physiological and biochemical characteristics. It is therefore doubtful that any one criterion will be adequate for selection of drought tolerant genotypes. Thus Sullivan and Ross (1979) have suggested that a combination of desirable factors must be selected.

Evaluation of food crop species for drought tolerance capacity.

Many aspects of food crops growth are known to be affected by drought stress including leaf expansion which is reduced due to the sensitivity of cell to water stress.
(Hsiao, 1973). In a series of publications, we showed that water stress applied at the vegetative growth stage of cowpea significantly reduced the number of leaves per plant compared to the control (non-stressed) and plants water-stressed at the flowering and pod-filling stages (Abayomi et al., 2001; Abayomi and Abidoye, 2009). Our results further showed that water stress at any growth stage of the crop significantly reduced grain yield with greater effects when the stress occurred at the flowering and pod-filling stages (Table 3). In a more recent publication, Abayomi and Abidoye (2009) were able to identify two genotypes, ITA 271 and ITA 352, to have good yield potential and yield stability, while IT99K-1060 and IT97K-598-18 are drought tolerant but have low yield potentials. From the various studies, we concluded that, although it is assumed that cowpea is a water stress tolerant crop species, it was apparent from our results that drought stress during flowering and pod-filling stages may substantially reduce grain yield in cowpea. This has serious implications for planting late in short season cowpeas in the southern Guinea savannah zone, where farmers sometimes plant as late as mid-September, thereby suggesting that rainfall may cease at the period of flowering and/or pod-filling stages. This will definitely result in yield losses in cases where the water available to the root is not substantial. In this case it may be possible to maximize yield by choosing planting dates and varieties that decrease the probabilities of soil water deficit occurring at flowering and pod-filling stages. It was therefore suggested that in this agro-ecology, planting of short season cowpea should be done not later than the 3rd week of August for medium/long duration (>65 days) varieties and 1st week of September for the short
duration (<65 days) varieties. From the results of our studies, IT8KD-374-57, TVX L25 and IT87D-941-1 were also identified as promising as drought tolerant varieties which may be successfully cultivated in the zone.

Soybean (*Glycine max* (L) Merrill) is another important edible grain legume in the world due to its high nutritional value and high seed protein content (38-42%) (Nworgu, 1993). The crop which was first introduced to Nigeria in 1908 (Root *et al*, 1985) has gradually become an important crop in the country due to increasing demand for edible oil and protein which has led to the expansion of soybean production to the savannah ecological zone, an area characterized by erratic and low rainfall (Chiezey, 2001). It is known that moisture stress reduces soybean yield (Korte *et al*, 1983b) and this effect is influenced by the timing and severity of stress.
Table 3: Effects of water stress at different growth stages on grain yields of contrasting cowpea genotypes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variety</th>
<th>No stress</th>
<th>Vegetative</th>
<th>Flowering</th>
<th>Pod-filling</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Year 1998</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ife Brown</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVX-L25</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>3.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT84E-124</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT89KD-374-57</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT89D-041-1</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT90K-102-6</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT89KD-256</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEWEHE (local)</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LSD (0.05)
Water stress treatment 0.721
Variety ns
WS x V 2.041
Table 3 Contd.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year 1999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ife Brown</td>
<td>7.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVX-L25</td>
<td>2.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT84E-124</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT89KD-374-57</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT89D-041-1</td>
<td>4.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT90K-102-6</td>
<td>7.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT89KD-256</td>
<td>6.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEWEHE (local)</td>
<td>5.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>5.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD (0.05)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water stress treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS x V</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Abayomi et al, 2001
I conducted various studies on the effects of soil moisture and agronomic inputs on growth and yield of soybean individually or jointly with other colleagues (Abayomi, 2006; 2008; Abayomi and Mahamood, 2009; Mahamood et al, 2009; Aduloju et al, 2009). In an earlier study, Abayomi (2006) reported that soil moisture stress, especially when it occurred at the vegetative and flowering stages, significantly reduced flower and pod formations, while moisture stress at any growth stage had no significant effect on reproductive efficiency. It was therefore concluded from the study that the decrease in grain yield due to soil moisture stress obtained was due to reductions in the sink sites for dry matter storage, with stress at either the vegetative or flowering stage. This was observed to lead to reduction in the ability to translocate more photosynthate to the appropriate sites when stress occurred at the pod filling stage. Nevertheless, grain yield varied among genotypes due to significant variations in both flowers and pods formation and not due to reproductive efficiency. The results of the study ranked Samsoy 2 and TGX 1817-12E as the most tolerant and TGX 1019-2EN and TGX 536-02D as the least tolerant genotypes to soil moisture deficit.

More recently, Abayomi (2008), concluded that soil moisture stress occurring at any stage of growth could be detrimental to grain yield in soybean depending on the maturity group. He reported that, while the early maturing soybean were mostly adversely affected by a stress at the flowering stage, pod filling stage was the most critical stage for the late maturing group.

Mr. Vice-Chancellor, Sir, rapid decline in the productivity of oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*, L) and
groundnut (*Arachis hypogeal*, L) which have long been the principal sources of vegetable oil in Nigeria has for long been creating problems in meeting the local demand for vegetable oil (Orisamika, 1993). This has therefore necessitated the need to look for alternative sources of oil. Ogunremi (1980) from preliminary investigations and field studies in the late 1970s identified sunflower as a potential source of vegetable oil which can serve as alternative to oil palm and groundnut. The bulk of the agricultural sunflower production is in the Guinea savannah ecology of the country, an area regarded as being prone to drought with low soil fertility (Chiezey, 2001; Adetiloye and Salau, 2002). Consequently, inadequate soil moisture and fertility are the two major husbandry problems reducing sunflower seed (achene) yield, and hence reduced vegetable oil and other products of sunflower in Nigeria. It has been reported that sunflower growth and yield parameters such as number of leaves, leaf area and plant height decreased with severe moisture and nitrogen stresses (Halvorson *et al.*, 1999). The water requirement of sunflower has been observed to be higher than those for other crops while water stress symptoms are not easily recognizable in the crop (Nasir, 1994). Therefore, in a study on the interactive effects of soil moisture and fertilizer level on growth and achene yield of sunflower, Abayomi and Adefila (2008) showed that plant growth and achene yield were significantly reduced by low soil moisture contents and this was aggravated by low soil fertility.

In a study on the responses of wheat to soil moisture stress, Abayomi and Wright (1999b) reported that water stress had greater effects on yield and its components when it occurred during the reproductive stage (*Table 4*).
Earlier workers (Doorenbos and Kassam, 1979; Johnson and Kamenrasu, 1982) had suggested that drought tolerance screening in cereals would be more effective during the reproductive stage. In terms of both absolute and relative yield under stress, our results showed that Wembley was the most drought tolerant of the wheat cultivars evaluated. It is interesting to note that Wembley was the only cultivar which produces awns, which in the light of the report that awns increased yield of cereal in dry regions (Evans et al., 1972), suggest that awned cultivars of wheat may be more drought tolerant than those that are awnless.
Table 4: Effects of water stress at different growth stages (GS) on grain yield and yield components at harvest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yield Components</th>
<th>No stress</th>
<th>Vegetative Stress</th>
<th>Reproductive Stress</th>
<th>SED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grain yield (g m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>629.0</td>
<td>506(80.4)</td>
<td>234(37.2)</td>
<td>62.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of ears (m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>845.0</td>
<td>780(92.3)</td>
<td>830(98.9)</td>
<td>75.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertile spikelet ear$^{-1}$</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>11.5(88.9)</td>
<td>9.2(70.9)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infertile spikelet ear$^{-1}$</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.7(91.0)</td>
<td>4.7(248.4)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total spikelet ear$^{-1}$</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>13.2(89.1)</td>
<td>13.9(93.5)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of grains spikelet$^{-1}$</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.41(101.4)</td>
<td>0.56(41.5)</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of grains ear$^{-1}$</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>18.7(93)</td>
<td>7.9(39.5)</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain No m$^{-2}$</td>
<td>17863.0</td>
<td>14921(85.5)</td>
<td>6910(38.7)</td>
<td>1645.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain weight (mg)</td>
<td>34.62</td>
<td>33.73(97.4)</td>
<td>33.63(97.1)</td>
<td>1.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear weight (g m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>828.0</td>
<td>648(78.3)</td>
<td>372(44.9)</td>
<td>75.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass yield (g m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>1484.0</td>
<td>1030(69.4)</td>
<td>771(51.9)</td>
<td>115.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvest index (%)</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>48.7(118.2)</td>
<td>28.9(70.1)</td>
<td>3.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in parenthesis are absolute values of stressed plants expressed as percentage of the control values. SED is the Standard Error of the Difference between means.

**Source:** Abayomi and Wright, 1999b
My contributions to studies in other agronomic management practices

Mr. Vice-Chancellor, Sir, my contribution to the agronomy of crop production is not limited to the screening for the drought tolerant genotypes. I wish to inform this august listeners that I have also made ample contributions to other agronomic management practices towards improved crop productivity, that food may be more abundant. These include studies on weed management, crop nutrition, intercropping efficiency, adaptability evaluation of crop genotypes to the SGS, soil conservation management practices etc. Sir, I therefore crave your indulgence to allow me to mention some of these contributions in the other areas of agronomic management practices.

Interactive effects of soil moisture content and fertilizer application

Lack of adequate water and improper fertilization of the soil are two of the husbandry problems reducing crops yields in Nigeria. While there have been concerted efforts to address these problems individually, there seems to be little or no study aimed at the interactive effect of fertilization and soil moisture contents. The importance of such study has however been reported (Fernandez et al, 1996). This lecturer therefore conducted some studies to determine the interactive effects of fertilizer application and soil moisture content. In his earliest study, Abayomi (1996) reported that adequate water supply and N application are the most critical inputs influencing sugarcane growth and yield. Using prominent and consistent moisture x nitrogen interactions, he showed that
the response of sugarcane plant to higher N application will be dependent on the soil available moisture. In a later study, Abayomi (2004) reported significant water stress x N fertilizer application interaction effects on most growth and grain yield parameters of maize. The results showed that application of N fertilizer at any rate has no significant effects on all water stressed plants, while significant positive responses to N application were obtained with adequate soil moisture. More recently, Abayomi and Adefila (2008) working on sunflower, reported significant (p<0.01) interactions of soil moisture and fertilizer level, which revealed that application of fertilizer has no significant influence on growth and yield at lower soil moisture levels (25 and 50%), but significantly increased yield components and seed yield at 75 and 100% soil moisture contents (Fig. 6). The authors therefore concluded that a factorial combination of 60 kg N ha$^{-1}$ fertilizer level at 75% soil moisture content is optimum for profitable seed yield of sunflower. The results of these studies thereby confirmed the critical interactive effects of N nutrition and adequate soil moisture as they jointly influence growth and grain yield in cereals and other crops.
Figure 6: Interactive effects of soil moisture and N fertilizer application on achene (seed) yield (g/plant) of sunflower.

![Bar chart showing the interactive effects of soil moisture and N fertilizer application on achene (seed) yield (g/plant) of sunflower.](image)

Source: Abayomi and Adefila, 2008

**Intercropping Efficiency**

Studies involving cereal/legume combination have attracted attention in tropical Africa as a way of improving the productivity and quality of food crops and also to maintain and possibly enhance the soil productivity through nitrogen fixation (Adetiloye, 1980). Thus, maize/cowpea intercrop has generated a lot of interest among scientists (including this lecturer) as its advantage under good management indicates that it stands a good chance of becoming a major practice in Nigeria’s future agriculture. In a study to determine the influence of maize architecture and nitrogen fertilization on intercropped...
maize and cowpea, Abayomi and Jatto (1998) reported the need for N application in maize/cowpea intercrop in the SGS (a practice not previously in use). Their results further showed that 80 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) is the most renumerative N rate for maize/cowpea intercrop in the zone. The study further revealed that the increased net revenue resulting from N application to the mixture was higher when short maize variety was used. The choice of correct cultivar and agronomic manipulations are the key elements for higher yield in intercropping (Fukai and Trenbath, 1993). A short, less vegetative cereal variety is a better option for cereal/legume intercrop. In a similar study, Abayomi (2000) reported that even though intercropping may result in decreases in yield of one or both of the component crops in a mixture, the productivity of a unit land area is improved by intercropping. The author further showed that the yield advantage is influenced by the architecture of the component cereal crops, being better with a shorter cereal genotype and thereby stressing the need for proper evaluation of the component crops before planting the mixture.

**Weed Management and use of herbicides**

One of the major factors responsible for reduced crop yield worldwide is uncontrolled weed growth. Results of yield losses resulting from weed competition in many parts of the world varies between 12 and 78% (Zimdahl, 1980). In order to establish an individual herbicide and/or herbicides mixture that are selective and would give long-lasting weed control in the crop, a joint effort with other colleagues resulted in series of experiments on weed management and use of herbicides.
In an earlier work, Fadayomi et al (1984) recommended the use of Hexazinone-based mixture for longer-lasting weed control in sugarcane over the diuron-based mixture, even though both mixtures were selective on Co 957 than on Co 1001 cultivars of sugarcane. The formulation of an appropriate weed management strategy for any crop requires the knowledge of the critical period of weed infestation in the crop. However, there was limited information in this area with regards to sugarcane crop. Therefore, Fadayomi and Abayomi (1988a) reported that the critical period of weed interference in plant sugarcane crop was between 8 and 20 weeks after planting (WAP), thereby suggesting that any weed management policy for the plant sugarcane must be such that will effectively control weeds between 8 and 20 WAP.

Flowering in sugarcane is one of the most important factors responsible for low sugar production in Nigeria. In an attempt to eliminate flowering in sugarcane, El-Manhaly et al (1984) reported that two herbicides, diuron (4.0 kg/ha) and paraquat (0.5 kg/ha) applied to the top leaves of sugarcane reduced flowering up to 45 and 35% respectively. However, these levels of effectiveness and the side effects occasioned by the use of these herbicides, necessitated the need for an alternative. In their search for this alternative, Fadayomi, Abayomi and Olaoye (1995) reported that ethephon (2-chloroethyl phosphatic acid) application reduced the intensity of flowering in all varieties evaluated in the first year, but only in two of the varieties the following year. The authors also showed that acceptable responses can be expected if ethephon is applied between the end of the 1st and 3rd week of July.
Plant nutrition, leaf growth and grain yield of maize

Leaf growth is important in influencing light interception, crop growth and yield in cereals (Gallagher and Biscoe, 1979). The final yield of dry matter has been shown to be proportional to the total amount of radiation intercepted by crop during growth (Scott and Jaggard, 1978), while light interception is largely determined by leaf area index (LAI) (Milford et al, 1985). In a much earlier study, Watson (1947) reported that leaf area and leaf area duration were the main causes of yield differences in plants rather than the rate of photosynthesis or net assimilation rate. Thus, low yield of most tropical maize has been attributed to low leaf area index, resulting from low fertility of tropical soils. In our various efforts to use agronomic management practices to improve leaf growth of crops in the SGS zone, Abayomi et al (2006) reported grain yield improvement due to enhanced leaf growth with increasing N fertilizer application in both hybrid and open-pollinated maize cultivars, thereby confirming the importance of leaf growth on grain yield of cereals. In an earlier study, Abayomi and Adedoyin (2004a) reported that the increased grain yield resulting from N application was due to improved leaf area production and longer leaf area duration, thereby confirming the critical importance of N to increase LAI and prolong leaf area duration thereby increasing biomass accumulation and grain yield in maize. However, the authors further showed that this expression could be seriously depressed by soil moisture deficit which is largely responsible for yield variations due to different planting dates being experienced in the zone. Abayomi and Adedoyin (2004b) therefore reported that the differences in growth characteristics due to planting date was mainly
attributable to inadequate soil moisture, associated with June planting, which did not enhance the efficiency and effective use of the supplied N fertilizer for good growth of leaves and development of maize plants and hence the reduced grain yield. In a subsequent study, Abayomi and Fagbenja (2005) showed that crop growth rate (CGR) and net assimilation rate (NAR) are the two most important physiological characteristics influencing grain yield variations in maize genotypes and in response to N fertilizer application, while plant height and number of leaves per plant are the most important morphological characters in grain yield variations.

**Phosphorous nutrition in soybean:**

Comparative field evaluation of old and newly developed soybean genotypes in the SGS of Nigeria (Abayomi and Mahamood, 2009) revealed that there have been little but significant genetic gains in soybean development in Nigeria over a period > 50 years of breeding and selection. A possible reason for the low genetic gain is the use of inappropriate growth and/or traits in selection programmes. The authors suggested that more emphasis should be placed on the use of physiological growth characteristics as selection criteria as well as the development of more drought tolerant genotypes. In another study, Aduloju et al (2009) were able to identify two new soybean genotypes, TGX 1448-2E and TGX 1844-18E as being better and therefore can replace the old cultivar, TGX 923-2E. The authors further showed that the application of 30 kg P ha$^{-1}$ is beneficial to soybean growth and grain yield in soils with low available P. However, Mahamood et al (2009) concluded that even though
soybean genotypes responded well to P application, the development of P-efficient technology would benefit the resource-poor farmers more than the development of optimum level(s) of P fertilization which the farmers might not be able to adopt and/or might not have the resources for application.

Soil conservation and weed management using legume cover crops

Land degradation and declining soil fertility leading to decreasing total agricultural productivity is a problem in the Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) (Okigbo, 1985; Lal, 1989). Integration of legume cover crops into the existing cropping system has been reported to offer potential for overcoming this problem. Legumes have the potential to improve soil fertility thereby boosting subsequent crop yield (Mohammeed-Salem, 1986; Tarawali, 1991). Legumes offer other benefits such as maintenance and improvement of soil physical properties, providing ground cover to reduce soil erosion, increasing soil organic matter, cation exchange capacity, microbial activity and reduction of soil temperature (Vallis and Gardner, 1984; Mulongoy and Kang, 1986; Tarawali et al, 1987). As part of a series of studies in collaboration with the International Institute for Tropical Agriculture (IITA) and the Nigerian Agricultural Research System, Abayomi et al (2001) evaluated the potentials of selected legume species for establishment, growth characteristics, biomass and seed production, soil fertility improvement and weed suppression at the Unilorin Teaching and Research Farm, Bolorunduro, southern Guinean savanna. Using the rank summation index (RSI) (Table 5), the authors showed that
the order of adaptation of the legume species evaluated to the study location on the basis of their ground cover, biomass production, seed yield, dry season survival and N contribution to the soil was: *Cajanus cajan* > *Aeschynomene hisrix* > *Stylosanthes guinensis* > *S. scabra* > *Crotalaria ochroleuca* > *C. verrucosa* > *Clitorea tArnatea* > *Pseudovigna argentea* > *Centrosema pascuorum* > *Pueraria phaseoloides* > *Lablab purpureus* > *Psophocarpus palustris* > *Chamecritea rotundifolia* > *Macroptilium atropurpureum*.

In a subsequent study, Ekeleme et al. (2003) reported that velvet bean, lablab, pigeon pea, (DS, SGS), sun hemp (NGS) and Centurion (SGS) could contribute significantly to weed management in the derived and Guinea savanna of Nigeria. These cover crops were shown to be more effective in reducing weed density than the other legumes. In an inter-cropping and residual effects study, Fadayomi et al. (2005) concluded that the integration of legume cover crops in a maize-based system increased maize grain yield and reduce weed infestation; and that *Centrosema pascuorum* is the most promising species in the SGS region. Nevertheless, the authors were of the opinion that *Lablab purpureus, Mucuna pruriens and Cajanus cajan* could also be potentially useful species if introduced about 4 weeks after planting of cereals or used as short rotation crops.
Table 5: Species ranking and rank summation index (RSI) showing the performance of legume species at the trial location.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legume species</th>
<th>Ground Cover</th>
<th>Biomass yield</th>
<th>Seed yield</th>
<th>Residual N</th>
<th>Persistence</th>
<th>RSI*</th>
<th>Final Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aeschynomene hisrix</td>
<td>15 Yr 1</td>
<td>4 Yr 2</td>
<td>12 Yr 1</td>
<td>4 Yr 2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cajanu cajan</td>
<td>5 Yr 1</td>
<td>1 Yr 2</td>
<td>1 Yr 1</td>
<td>1 Yr 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stylosanthes guinensis</td>
<td>17 Yr 1</td>
<td>1 Yr 2</td>
<td>11 Yr 1</td>
<td>2 Yr 2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. scabra</td>
<td>18 Yr 2</td>
<td>9 Yr 1</td>
<td>8 Yr 2</td>
<td>3 Yr 1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crotolaria ochroleuca</td>
<td>7 Yr 1</td>
<td>18 Yr 2</td>
<td>3 Yr 2</td>
<td>17 Yr 1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. verrucosa</td>
<td>16 Yr 1</td>
<td>12 Yr 2</td>
<td>6 Yr 2</td>
<td>10 Yr 1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clitorea tanataea</td>
<td>11 Yr 1</td>
<td>10 Yr 2</td>
<td>9 Yr 1</td>
<td>9 Yr 2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudovigna argentea</td>
<td>9 Yr 1</td>
<td>3 Yr 2</td>
<td>16 Yr 1</td>
<td>7 Yr 2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrosema pascuorum</td>
<td>4 Yr 1</td>
<td>14 Yr 2</td>
<td>7 Yr 2</td>
<td>15 Yr 1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrosema brasilianum</td>
<td>10 Yr 1</td>
<td>5 Yr 2</td>
<td>10 Yr 1</td>
<td>8 Yr 2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lablab purpureus</td>
<td>3 Yr 1</td>
<td>17 Yr 2</td>
<td>5 Yr 1</td>
<td>16 Yr 2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psophocarpus palustris</td>
<td>6 Yr 1</td>
<td>16 Yr 2</td>
<td>15 Yr 1</td>
<td>14 Yr 2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamerictea rotundifolia</td>
<td>18 Yr 1</td>
<td>8 Yr 2</td>
<td>13 Yr 1</td>
<td>11 Yr 2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macroptilium atropurpureum.</td>
<td>12 Yr 1</td>
<td>11 Yr 2</td>
<td>14 Yr 1</td>
<td>12 Yr 2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucuna pruriens (black)</td>
<td>2 Yr 1</td>
<td>15 Yr 2</td>
<td>4 Yr 1</td>
<td>18 Yr 2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucuna pruriens (white)</td>
<td>1 Yr 1</td>
<td>13 Yr 2</td>
<td>2 Yr 1</td>
<td>13 Yr 2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. harmata</td>
<td>14 Yr 1</td>
<td>7 Yr 2</td>
<td>18 Yr 1</td>
<td>5 Yr 2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The smaller the figure, the better the performance.

Source: Abayomi et al (2001)
Conclusion and Recommendations

Conclusion

The survival of mankind depends on the abundant supply of food by crop plants. Plant growth and productivity however, are adversely affected by nature’s wrath in the form of various biotic and abiotic stress factors (Sade et al, 2011). Water deficit is one of the major abiotic stress factors, if man would continue to exist, then we have to ensure the continued existence of green plants, by either providing the extra moisture required and/or reconstitute the genetic composition of plants to tolerate moisture stress and maintain productivity that food may be more abundant. Prior to now, plant breeders depended on conventional approach for selecting drought tolerant species and genotypes of crops. However, such approach has been found to be laborious and time consuming and less effective. The reductionist approach is an attempt to reduce time lags in breeding and selecting drought tolerant genotypes using morphological, physiological and biochemical characteristics of plants. Most of these methods are fast, inexpensive and amenable to large scale selection programmes. Evidences from our various studies show that considerable differences exist among crop genotypes in their ability to endure drought stress. Out of several evaluation techniques proposed and/or being used to select drought tolerance genotypes, germination at high osmotic pressure and expansive growth measurement techniques were found to have merit as inexpensive, simple and fast methods of screening large populations for drought tolerance and predicting the response of the whole plant in the field. They are therefore useful to breeders in
evaluating first and second generations of breeding materials. Nevertheless, other methods such as the measurements of photosynthetic capacity, using potable and commercially available instruments (Infrared Gas Analyzer) can be used for smaller populations such as the advanced lines and parent stocks in germplasms.

**Recommendations**

Current observations suggest that increasing the level of stress tolerance by reinforcing the plants’ defense systems with new genes is an attainable goal. In the light of this, I wish to make the following recommendations that:

- Nigerian scientists, particularly the plant breeders, crop physiologists and agronomists should intensify their efforts at reconstituting crops genes, using new approaches, particularly the physiological and molecular approaches, which would be the most attractive ways to develop new varieties rapidly, so that they will be able to tolerate drought stress better;
- adequate genetic banks of crop species should be maintained and constantly updated with new materials to expand the genetic diversity of each food crop species;
- adequate research funds should be made available for the scientists by the various governments and other stakeholders to enable the scientists to execute the above recommendations;
- we are now in the era of large scale commercial farming when irrigation of farms is inevitable, Federal and State Governments are hereby called
upon to reorganize and re-energize the various River Basin Development Authorities to be able to expand their equipments, construct more dams to be able to cater for more farmers in their areas of jurisdiction for irrigation farming;

- farmers all over the country should take adequate advantage of the Fadama projects of the various Agricultural Development Programmes in various states to produce more crops during the dry season and to plant crop species that will use less water; and

- farmers in Nigeria in general and in the savanna ecologies in particular should choose varieties and planting periods that will decrease the probabilities of soil water deficit occurring at flowering and grain-filling stages for optimum crops yields that food may be more abundant.
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